Healthcare Quality Data Integration for Improving the Management of HIV/AIDS Across Pennsylvania

"Linking Education and Quality Improvement"

Mila Kostic
Office of Continuing Medical Education
University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine

MedBiquitous Annual Conference
May 15, 2008
Linking Education and Quality Improvement

- US Healthcare Delivery Environment
  - IOM Reports
  - MOC/ABMS
  - AAMC
  - NCQA
  - CMS/Pay for Performance (P4P)
  - ACCME
    - CME=Bridge to Healthcare Quality
    - New Accreditation Criteria
Shifting the Focus of CME to Quality of Care

- New ACCME Accreditation Criteria
  - Support Lifelong Learning and Self-assessment (MOC Part 2)
  - Support Practice Performance Assessment (MOC Part 4)
  - Foster **Collaboration** to Address Quality Improvement
    - Identifying and overcoming barriers to improved care
    - Rewarding innovation and interaction to provide outreach, education and coordination for improvement in healthcare delivery
    - Promoting interdisciplinary team practice/systems education
Shifting the Focus of CME to Clinical Practice

- Designing education that is
  - **Addressing** actual **needs** specific to:
    - Clinicians’ knowledge, competence, performance
  - Developed to **change and measure the change** in:
    - Clinicians’ competence, performance, patient outcomes
HRSA Grants Awarded Through the Ryan White CARE Act of 1990

- Funded services embrace Wagner’s Chronic Care Model (CCM)
  - Self-Management
  - Decision Support
  - Delivery System Design
  - Clinical Information System
  - Organization of Health Care
  - Community
Quality Management and the Ryan White Care Act – Robust QI Mandated

- Remaining challenges:
  - patient access to equal level of care
  - constantly evolving standard of care
  - need for complex and individualized care management
  - broadening geographic reach of the HIV epidemic
  - increasing number of persons with HIV have co-morbidities
Quality Management Programs Overwhelming for Many AIDS Service Organizations (ASOs)

- A statewide needs assessment was conducted by the PA State Department of Health’s Quality Management Committee in July 2007.
- Primary finding was the lack of a standardized data collection process
  - Underuse of technology, both absent and existing
  - Understaffed/underfunded ASOs
Quality Management Program Goals

- Support development of higher quality care for people living with HIV/AIDS
- Identify priority needs and client populations
- Support effective program management
- Demonstrate program value quantitatively by linking outputs (amounts of services provided) to outcomes (results)
- Identify and justify critical program activities and resources required to meet needs, and
- Enable local HIV service delivery networks and providers to perform better and to function as a system.
The Pennsylvania Project: A Statewide QI Initiative in HIV/AIDS: Project Design

1. Assess performance in practice using
   - Identified EB quality indicators
   - Integrate clinical and non-clinical support services providers

2. Link to Performance Improvement pathways including
   - Certified CME
     - 2 interactive case-based modules
     - Other OCME-reviewed/approved online CME
     - Internet POC Search (MedPage Today)
   - Non-certified EB resources and tools
   - Links to QI-specific educational modules
The Pennsylvania Project: A Statewide QI Initiative in HIV/AIDS: Project Design

3. Re-Measure performance using:
   - The same pre-identified EB quality indicators
   - Provide feedback to learners and organizations
   - Reflect on PI process
   - Award 20 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™

4. Ideally, users re-enter the new PI cycle

This educational initiative is supported by an educational grant from Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
The Pennsylvania Project:
A Statewide QI Initiative in HIV/AIDS: Educational Partners

- Complex Collaborative Model:
  - **Academic CME Provider:** *U of Penn SOM CME*
  - **Innovative Technology Partner:** *CECity.com, Inc.*
  - **QI Experts:** *NIQIE, HIVQUAL, and PA State DOH*
  - **Fiscal Agent for PA HRSA grant recipients:** *Jewish Healthcare Foundation*
  - **Participating AIDS Service Organizations (ASOs)**
  - **CE Provider:** *Creative Educational Concepts*
Step 1: Identifying Clinical Performance Measures to be Used in Practice Assessment

HRSA’s HAB HIV Core Clinical Performance Measures for Adult/Adolescent Clients

- Percentage of clients with HIV infection who had 2 or more CD4 T-cell counts performed in the measurement year
- Percentage of clients with AIDS who are prescribed HAART
- Percentage of clients with HIV infection who had 2 or more medical visits in an HIV care setting in the measurement year
- Percentage of clients with HIV infection and a CD4 T-cell count below 200 cells/mm3 who were prescribed PCP prophylaxis
- Percentage of pregnant women with HIV infection who are prescribed antiretroviral therapy during the 2nd and 3rd trimester
Performance Measures, (cont’d)

Additional indicators to be measured

- Organizational assessment of quality management program
- Viral load testing done within 3 to 4 months of the most recent visit

Challenge: availability of uniformed data across all providers:

- Medical providers will report on all indicators
- Case managers will report on indicators 1, 3, and 6
- All other Part B providers will only report on indicator 6
- Additional 2 indicators to be developed specifically for support services providers
Collection and organization of data

- Necessity for Ease of Data Collection and Uniformity of Assessment
  - CECity’s *Lifetime™* PI platform allows for extraction and import from large data sets of existing data already reported to the State via the CareWare system
  - One point of data entry per organization
    - Multiple learners associated with organizational PI
Step 2: Performance Improvement Pathways

- Multiple learners associated with organizational Performance Improvement
  - Combination of individualized and group performance improvement pathways based on standardized entry assessment tool administered to individuals and initial patient data collection:
    - QI-specific educational modules
    - Certified CME
      - 2 interactive case-based modules
      - Other OCME-reviewed/approved online CME
    - Non-certified EB resources and tools
Step 3: Re-Assessment in Practice

- Six months will be allowed for PI activities and educational resources to be accessed, completed, and implemented
- Re-measurement of practice-based patient data will be undertaken
Final Steps

- Reflection related to the entire PI process upon completion of the reassessment will close the cycle and trigger credit issuance
- Data from this project will support the need for national CME PI projects
- Data to be shared with PA State Department of Health and will be used to help with HRSA grant recipients meet QI requirements